



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,674	05/03/2001	Wipul Jayasekara	SJO920000096US1	8478
	7590 05/20/2003			
Ron Feece INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION Dept. L2PA 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193			EXAMINER	
			RENNER, CRAIG A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	~

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SI





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/848,674

Applicant(s)

Jayasekara

Examiner

Craig A. Renner

Art Unit **2652**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6 May 200</u>	03			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>25-46</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideratio			
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆		is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims 1-24	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement			
Application Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: an approved by disapproved by the Examine			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)□	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
,	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm		priority under 30 0.3.C. 33 120 aff0/01 121.			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/848,674

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of "Group I claims 1-24" in Paper No. 4, filed 6 May 2003, is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 25-46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to one or more non-elected inventions/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 2. Upon further consideration, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - FIG. 2A.

Species II - FIG. 2B.

Species III - FIG. 3A.

Species IV - FIG. 3B.

Species V - FIG. 4A.

Species VI - FIG. 4B.

Species VII - FIG. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/848,674

,

Art Unit: 2652

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added

after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §

1.143).

4. Any inquiry concerning the above referenced application should be directed to the

examiner, Craig A. Renner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559, and whose facsimile

number is (703) 872-9314. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from

7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. E.S.T.

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner Page 3

Art Unit 2652

CAR

May 16, 2003